Kasper Dalgaard Larsen 20053122 Approximation Algorithms Handin 3

## 1 Greedy Load Balancing Algorithm

In this section we study the greedy load balancing algorithm.

**Part 1.** Show that the greedy algorithm gives a 2-approximation, and give a tight example.

First we recall the simple lower bound on OPT from the lecture:

$$OPT \ge \max\{p_{\max}, \sum_i p_i/m\}$$

where  $p_{\max} = \max_i p_i$ . Next we show that the solution computed by the greedy algorithm has cost at most

$$2 \cdot \max\{p_{\max}, \sum_i p_i/m\}$$

Assume first that  $p_{\max} > \sum_i p_i/m \Rightarrow m > \sum_i p_i/p_{\max}$  and assume for the sake of contradiction that the greedy algorithm returns a solution of cost more than  $2 \cdot p_{\max}$ . Let j be the index of any machine having a finishing time of at least  $2 \cdot p_{\max}$ . Notice that if we remove the last job from machine j, then it still has finishing time at least  $2p_{\max} - p_{\max} = p_{\max}$ . Now since the greedy algorithm always assigns jobs to the machine with least current load, this implies that all machines have load at least  $p_{\max}$ . Thus  $\sum_i p_i \ge mp_{\max} \Rightarrow m \le \sum_i p_i/p_{\max}$ , a contradiction. Secondly assume that  $\sum_i p_i/m \ge p_{\max}$  and that the greedy algorithm returns a solution of cost more than  $2 \cdot \sum_i p_i/m$ . Again let j be the last job. By the same arguments as before, we know that all machines have a finishing time of more than  $2 \cdot \sum_i p_i/m - p_{\max}$ . Summing the processing times on all machines we get that  $\sum_i p_i > m \cdot (2 \cdot \sum_i p_i/m - p_{\max}) = 2 \sum_i p_i - mp_{\max} \Rightarrow \sum_i p_i/m < p_{\max}$ , a contradiction.

The following example (almost) shows the tightness: We have n jobs and m = (n - 1)/a machines where a is a parameter to be fixed later. We have am jobs of cost 1 and 1 job of cost a. The arbitrary order chosen by the greedy algorithm is to assign all the length 1 jobs first. This distributes all the length 1 jobs evenly amongst the m machines, and finally the length a job is assigned arbitrarely to one of the machines, giving a total cost of 2a. In the optimal solution, the length a job is assigned to a machine first, and then the remaining am jobs are assigned greedily. This gives a cost of  $a + \lceil a/m \rceil$ , thus the approximation ratio is

$$\frac{2a}{a + \left\lceil \frac{a}{m} \right\rceil}$$

Choosing  $a = \sqrt{n-1}$  we have  $m = a = \sqrt{n-1}$ , and the approximation ratio becomes

$$\frac{2a}{a+1} = \frac{2m}{m+1} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m}} = \frac{2 - \frac{2}{m}}{1 - \frac{1}{m^2}} \ge 2 - \frac{2}{m}$$

**Part 2.** Show that if jobs are ordered in decreasing length, then the approximation ratio is  $\frac{3}{2}$ .

Again, we use the lower bounds from above, giving

$$OPT \ge \max\{p_{\max}, \sum_i p_i/m\}$$

We will assume that  $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \cdots \ge p_n$ , implying that jobs get assigned in this order by the modified greedy algorithm. Assume first that  $p_{\max} > \sum_i p_i/m$  and that the modified greedy algorithm gives a solution of cost more than  $\frac{3}{2}p_{\max}$ . Let j be the index of a machine with maximum load and consider the last job assigned to it. This job has length  $p_k$  for some k. Removing this job, we know that after assigning the jobs of length  $p_1 \dots p_{k-1}$ , all machines have load more than  $\frac{3}{2}p_{\max} - p_k$ . Since this is greater than  $p_{\max}$ , we must have at least 2 jobs on every machine, thus  $m \le \frac{k-1}{2}$ . Summing all weights, we get that

$$\sum_{i} p_i > \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i + m \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}p_{\max} - p_k\right) \Rightarrow$$
$$\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i} p_i < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i + mp_k \Rightarrow$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i < mp_k \le \frac{(k-1)}{2} p_k \Rightarrow$$
$$\frac{k-1}{2} p_k + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i < \frac{k-1}{2} p_k \Rightarrow$$
$$\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i < 0$$

which is a contradiction since all weights are positive. Secondly, assume  $\sum_i p_i/m \ge p_{\max}$  and that the modified greedy algorithm gives a solution of cost more than  $\frac{3}{2}\sum_i p_i/m$ . Let j and k be as before and remove job k from machine j. The load on all machines after assigning the jobs of length  $p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}$  is then more than  $\frac{3}{2}\sum_i p_i/m - p_k \ge \frac{3}{2}p_{\max} - p_k$ . Thus  $m \le \frac{k-1}{2}$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i > m \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2} \sum_i p_i / m - p_k\right) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_i p_i - m p_k$$

We can now repeat the calculations from above and get our contradiction.

## 2 Question 2

First check if there are any jobs of length > T, in which case we return **No**. Otherwise, define variables  $M(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  as in the hint. Notice that since  $a_i < T$  for all i, we have  $M(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = 1$  when  $\sum x_i = 1$  and  $M(0, 0, \ldots, 0) = 0$ . We now compute the M variables in iterations, such that in the j'th iteration, we compute the answer for all combinations of  $x_i$  where  $\sum x_i = j$ . To fill out entry  $M(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  in the j'th iteration, we "fix" the jobs on the last machine. This is done by trying all combinations of values  $(y_1, \ldots, y_k)$  such that  $\sum y_i > 0$  and  $y_i \leq x_i$  for all i. Intuitively, this corresponds to deciding how many jobs  $y_i$  of length  $a_i$  to place on the last machine. For each such combination, if  $\sum y_i \cdot a_i < T$ , we let

$$M(x_1, \ldots, x_k) := \min\{M(x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_k - y_k) + 1, M(x_1, \ldots, x_k)\}.$$

where  $M(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \infty$  if it has not yet been assigned a value. Once we reach the *n*'th iteration, we can read off how many machines are needed to schedule the jobs given as input. If this is greater than the number of available machines, return **No**, and otherwise return **Yes**. To also obtain a valid schedule, one could store the jobs assigned to the last machine whenever overwriting the  $M(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  variables. Backtracking through the variables would give a valid schedule.

**Analysis.** First notice that there are  $\binom{j+k-1}{k-1}$  ways of choosing k integers summing to j. Thus we have the following bound on the running time

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n} \binom{j+k-1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \binom{i+k-1}{k-1} = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \binom{j+k-1}{k-1} \binom{i+k-1}{k-1}$$

where the inner binomial coefficient originates from choosing the  $y_i$ 's and the outer from choosing the  $x_i$ 's. This sum is bounded by

$$n^{2} \binom{n+k-1}{k-1}^{2} \leq n^{2} \left(\frac{(n+k-1)e}{k-1}\right)^{2k-2} = n^{2} \left(\frac{en}{k-1} + e\right)^{2k-2}$$

which for  $k \ge 4$  and  $n \ge e/(1 - e/3)$  is bounded by

$$n^2 n^{2k-2} = n^{2k}$$